individually and doing business as TVDM Tax Services, MTV Tax Services, and New Horizon Tax Services, states as follows:

1. This suit is brought under sections 7402(a), 7407, and 7408 of the Internal

Plaintiff, United States of America, for its complaint against Maria Teresita Viray,

- 1. This suit is brought under sections 7402(a), 7407, and 7408 of the Internal Revenue Code (26 U.S.C.)("IRC") to enjoin Maria Teresita Viray, individually and doing business as TVDM Tax Services, MTV Tax Services, and New Horizon Tax Services, and anyone in active concert or participation with her, from:
  - (a) acting as a federal tax return preparer or requesting, assisting in, or directing the preparation or filing of federal tax returns, amended returns, or other related documents or forms for any person or entity other than herself;
  - (b) preparing or assisting in preparing federal tax returns that she knows or reasonably should have known would result in an understatement of tax liability or the overstatement of federal tax refund(s) as penalized by IRC § 6694;
  - (c) engaging in any other activity subject to penalty under IRC §§ 6694,6701, or any other penalty provision in the IRC;
  - (d) representing anyone other than herself before the Internal Revenue Service; and
  - (d) engaging in any conduct that substantially interferes with the proper administration and enforcement of the internal revenue laws.

#### Jurisdiction and Venue

- 2. Pursuant to IRC §§ 7402, 7407, and 7408, this action has been requested by the Chief Counsel of the IRS, a delegate of the Secretary of the Treasury, and commenced at the direction of a delegate of the Attorney General.
- 3. Jurisdiction is conferred on this Court by 28 U.S.C. §§ 1340 and 1345 and IRC §§ 7402(a), 7407, and 7408.

4. Maria Teresita Viray (Viray) resides in Reseda, Los Angeles County, California, and does business as TVDM Tax Services, MTV Tax Services, and New Horizon Tax Services, with a business address of 14650 Roscoe Boulevard #5, Panorama City, California, 91402. Venue is thus proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because Viray resides in this judicial district and the conduct giving rise to this action occurred in this judicial district.

#### **Defendant's Activities**

- 5. In 2008, 2009, and 2010, Viray, individually and doing business as TVDM Tax Services, MTV Tax Services, and New Horizon Tax Services, received compensation in exchange for preparing and filing frivolous documents and fraudulent federal tax returns covering the tax years 2007, 2008, and 2009. Viray is a licensee of TaxSmart America and operates a TaxSmart business center. Viray identifies herself and/or TVDM Tax Services, MTV Tax Services, or New Horizon Tax Services as the "paid preparer" of federal income tax returns by signing the returns and/or using a unique Preparer Tax Identification Number (PTIN) issued to her and/or her business by the IRS.
- 6. The IRS has audited 304 federal tax returns that Viray prepared from 2008 to 2010. Of these 304 returns, the IRS has made adjustments to Viray's customers' income tax liability reported on 297 of these returns because of Viray's false claims. The false claims on these 297 returns resulted in a tax loss to the Government of over \$852,886, an average tax deficiency of over \$2,871 per return.
- 7. Viray, based on tax returns reporting her social security number or her the PTIN of one of her businesses as the paid preparer, prepared at least 16,234 federal tax returns for customers between January 1, 2008 and December 31, 2010, with refund rates for these tax years ranging from 77 percent to 84 percent annually. This includes at least 6,323 federal tax returns prepared for customers in 2008 alone, with an 84 percent refund rate.

in revenue to the United States from 2008 to 2010 alone.

8.

Charitable Contribution and Employee Business Expense Fraud

2010, and based on the \$2,871 average tax deficiency on the 297 returns that the IRS has

examined, and the over 97% error rate on the returns the IRS has examined (297 of 304

returns), Viray's tax return preparation resulted in the estimated loss of over \$45,000,000

Considering that Viray has prepared at least 16,234 tax returns from 2008 to

- 9. Viray often prepares tax returns for customers on which she fabricates or fraudulently inflates the amount of a customer's charitable contribution. Section 170 of the Internal Revenue Code governs charitable contributions. Section 170(a) provides that qualifying charitable contributions, as defined by IRC § 170(c), are allowable only if verified. Viray prepares returns for clients that report a fraudulently inflated amount of charitable contributions.
- 10. Viray also frequently prepares returns for customers that claim deductions for fabricated or fraudulently inflated unreimbursed business expenses, and makes false claims for purported business expenses that do not qualify under the Internal Revenue Code. Section 162 of the Code governs trade or business expenses. IRS Publication 529 provides examples of qualifying business expenses, including "Union dues and expenses" and "Work clothes and uniforms if required and not suitable for everyday use." *See* IRS Publication 529 (2010) (*See* http://www.irs.gov/publications/p529/ar02.html). Publication 529 also provides examples of expenses that do not qualify as business expenses, including "Commuting expenses," "Lunches with co-workers," "Meals while working late," and "Personal, living, or family expenses."
- 11. For example, on the 2008 tax return of customers Ramoncito and Michaela Francisco, Viray improperly claimed that the Franciscos had \$4,862 in cash charitable contributions and \$250 in non-cash charitable contributions. The Francsicos provided Viray with documents showing that they made cash contributions totaling \$985 in 2008. However, Viray fraudulently inflated the Francsicos' cash contributions by \$3,877.

Moreover, the Franciscos did not make any non-cash contributions, nor did they tell Viray that they made such contributions. When the Franciscos reviewed their return, they questioned Viray about the claimed contributions. Viray told the Franciscos not to worry.

- 12. Viray also falsely claimed \$8,959 on the Franciscos' 2008 return as unreimbursed employee business expenses for purported business expenses, such as personal upkeep, shoes, and laundry. When preparing the return, Viray asked the Franciscos about their work attire, to which the Franciscos responded that they wear business casual clothing. Viray then asked the Franciscos about their dry cleaning expenses. Viray told the Franciscos that such expenses were deductible. Not only are such expenses not deductible, but Viray also inflated the Franciscos' dry cleaning expenses which she improperly claimed as business expenses on the Franciscos' 2008 return.
- 13. Viray also falsely claimed Ramoncito's parents as dependents on the return, even though Ramonicto's parents do not live with the Franciscos and the Franciscos did not provide more than half of their financial support.
- 14. Viray also prepared the 2008 tax return of customer Maria Krutolow, a nurse. On Krutolow's 2008 tax return, Viray included bogus charitable deductions in the amounts of \$5,737. Krutolow, however, specifically told Viray that she did not make any contributions in 2008 and never provided Viray with documentation showing that she made such contributions.

## Causing False Statements and Documents to be Provided to the IRS

- 15. Viray has also caused or intended to cause false statements to be made to the IRS by her customers, and produced falsified documents for her customers to provide to the IRS to support the bogus claims that Viray fabricated on her customers' returns.
- 16. For example, to support the inflated charitable deductions reported on the Franciscos' 2008 tax return, Viray falsified the charitable contribution amounts reported on the Franciscos' receipts provided by their church. The Franciscos provided Viray with

a letter from Church of Saint Philip the Apostle dated February 10, 2009, and thanking
the Franciscos for their tax-deductible contribution of \$760. Viray altered the letter,
changing the amount to \$2,760. The Franciscos also provided Viray with a letter from
the Archidocese of Los Angeles, dated August 12, 2008, thanking the Franciscos for their
gift of \$100. Viray also altered this letter, changing the amount to \$1,100. During the
IRS's audit of the Franciscos, at which Viray appeared as the Franciscos' representative,
Viray produced these fabricated receipts to the IRS.

Krutolow told Viray that she had no contributions in 2008, Viray responded that she has a special printer that allows her to change the dates and amounts on charitable contribution receipts. Viray also told Krutolow not to worry if she is audited, because Viray would represent her in the audit, and has contacts who can create receipts to substantiate the bogus claims that Viray makes on her customers' returns. Viray told Krutolow not to tell anyone about this service, because she purportedly only offers it to very special clients. During the IRS audit of Krutolow, Viray provided Krutolow with a bogus receipt from Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center in the amount of \$50 and dated December 20, 2008, with the intention that Krutolow give the false receipt to the IRS to substantiate the false claims Viray made on the return. However, Krutolow made no such contribution that year, and believes that the receipt was one she previously provided to Viray for a contribution she made in 2006, and that Viray changed the date on the receipt to 2008.

## Harm Caused by Viray

- 18. Viray's customers have been harmed because they paid Viray fees to prepare proper tax returns, but Viray prepared returns that substantially understated their correct tax liabilities. Many customers now face large income tax deficiencies and may be liable for sizeable penalties and interest.
- 19. Viray's conduct harms the United States because her customers are under-reporting and under-paying their correct tax liabilities. The IRS has identified 297

fraudulent federal income tax returns (of 304 that were examined) that Viray prepared between January 1, 2008 and December 31, 2010, with a total of \$852,886 in lost revenue (an average of over \$2,871 per return) based on false claims and deductions.

- 20. In addition to the direct harm caused by preparing tax returns that understate customers' tax liabilities, Viray's activities undermine public confidence in the administration of the federal tax system and encourage noncompliance with the internal revenue laws.
- 21. Viray further harms the United States because the Internal Revenue Service must devote its limited resources to identifying Viray's customers, ascertaining their correct tax liabilities, recovering any refunds erroneously issued, and collecting any additional taxes and penalties.

#### Count I

#### Injunction under IRC § 7407

- 22. The United States incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 21.
- 23. Section 7407 of the IRC authorizes a district court to enjoin a tax return preparer from engaging in conduct subject to penalty under IRC § 6694, or engaging in any other fraudulent or deceptive conduct that substantially interferes with the proper administration of the internal revenue laws, if the court finds that the preparer has engaged in such conduct and that injunctive relief is appropriate to prevent the recurrence of the conduct. Additionally, if the court finds that a preparer has continually or repeatedly engaged in such conduct, and the court further finds that a narrower injunction (i.e., prohibiting only that specific enumerated conduct) would not be sufficient to prevent that person's interference with the proper administration of the internal revenue laws, the court may enjoin the person from further acting as a tax return preparer.

- 1 2 3

- 24. Viray has continually and repeatedly engaged in conduct subject to penalty under IRC § 6694 by preparing federal income tax returns that understate her customers' liabilities based on unrealistic, frivolous, and reckless positions.
- 25. Viray has engaged in conduct subject to penalty under IRC § 6694 fabricating documents purportedly substantiating the bogus claims she made on her customers' tax returns and submitting these false documents to the IRS.
- 26. Viray's continual and repeated violations of IRC § 6694 fall within IRC § 7407(b)(1)(A) and (D), and thus are subject to an injunction under IRC § 7407.
- 27. If she is not enjoined, Viray is likely to continue to prepare and file false and fraudulent tax returns.
- 28. Viray's continual and repeated conduct subject to an injunction under IRC § 7407, including her continual and repeated misapplication of expenses and deductions, fabrication of documents, and submission of false documents to the IRS during her representation of customers in audits, demonstrates that a narrow injunction prohibiting only specific conduct would be insufficient to prevent Viray's interference with the proper administration of the internal revenue laws. Thus, she should be permanently barred from acting as a return preparer.

#### **Count II**

# Injunction under IRC § 7408

- 29. The United States incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 28.
- 30. Section 7408 of the IRC authorizes a district court to enjoin any person from engaging in conduct subject to penalty under either IRC § 6700 or § 6701 if injunctive relief is appropriate to prevent recurrence of such conduct.
- 31. Section 6701(a) of the IRC penalizes any person who aids or assists in, procures, or advises with respect to the preparation or presentation of a federal tax return, refund claim, or other document knowing (or having reason to believe) that it will be used

in connection with any material matter arising under the internal revenue laws and knowing that if it is so used it will result in an understatement of another person's tax liability.

- 32. Viray prepares federal tax returns for customers that she knows will understate their correct tax liabilities, because Viray knowingly prepares returns claiming improper expenses and deductions. Viray also prepares false documents purportedly substantiating the bogus claims she makes on her customers' tax returns and submits these documents to the IRS during her representation of customers during audits. Viray's conduct is thus subject to a penalty under IRC § 6701.
- 33. If the Court does not enjoin Viray, she is likely to continue to engage in conduct subject to penalty under IRC § 6701. Viray's preparation of returns claiming improper expenses and deductions is widespread over many customers and tax years. Injunctive relief is therefore appropriate under IRC § 7408.

#### **Count III**

#### Injunction under IRC § 7402(a)

### **Necessary to Enforce the Internal Revenue Laws**

- 34. The United States hereby incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 33.
- 35. Section 7402 of the IRC authorizes a district court to issue orders of injunction as may be necessary or appropriate for the enforcement of the internal revenue laws.
- 36. Viray, through the actions described above, has engaged in conduct that substantially interferes with the enforcement of the internal revenue laws.
- 37. Unless enjoined, Viray is likely to continue to engage in such improper conduct and interfere with the enforcement of the internal revenue laws. If Viray is not enjoined from engaging in fraudulent and deceptive conduct, the United States will suffer

irreparable injury by wrongfully providing federal income tax refunds to individuals not entitled to receive them.

- 38. While the United States will suffer irreparable injury if Viray is not enjoined, Viray will not be harmed by being compelled to obey the law.
- 39. Enjoining Viray is in the public interest because an injunction, backed by the Court's contempt powers if needed, will stop Viray's illegal conduct and the harm it causes the United States.
  - 40. The Court should impose injunctive relief under IRC § 7402(a). WHEREFORE, the United States of America prays for the following:
- A. That the Court find that Maria Teresita Viray has continually and repeatedly engaged in conduct subject to penalty under IRC § 6694, and has continually and repeatedly engaged in other fraudulent or deceptive conduct that substantially interferes with the administration of the tax laws, and that a narrower injunction prohibiting only this specific misconduct would be insufficient;
- B. That the Court, pursuant to IRC § 7407, enter a permanent injunction prohibiting Maria Teresita Viray from acting as a federal tax return preparer;
- C. That the Court find that Maria Teresita Viray has engaged in conduct subject to a penalty under IRC § 6701, and that injunctive relief under IRC § 7408 is appropriate to prevent a recurrence of that conduct;
- D. That the Court find that Maria Teresita Viray has engaged in conduct that interferes with the enforcement of the internal revenue laws, and that injunctive relief is appropriate to prevent the recurrence of that conduct pursuant to the Court's inherent equity powers and IRC § 7402(a);
- E. That the Court, pursuant to IRC §§ 7402(a), 7407, and 7408, enter a permanent injunction prohibiting Maria Teresita Viray, and all those in active concert or participation with her, from:
  - (1) acting as a federal tax return preparer or requesting, assisting in, or

- directing the preparation or filing of federal tax returns, amended returns, or other related documents or forms for any person or entity other than herself;
- (2) preparing or assisting in preparing federal tax returns that she knows or reasonably should have known would result in an understatement of tax liability or the overstatement of federal tax refund(s) as penalized by IRC § 6694;
- (3) engaging in any other activity subject to penalty under IRC §§ 6694, 6701, or any other penalty provision in the IRC;
- (4) representing anyone other than herself before the Internal Revenue Service; and
- (5) engaging in any conduct that substantially interferes with the proper administration and enforcement of the internal revenue laws.
- F. That the Court, pursuant to IRC §§ 7402(a), 7407, and 7408, enter an order requiring Maria Teresita Viray to contact, within fifteen days of the Court's order, by United States mail and, if an e-mail address is known, by e-mail, all persons for whom she prepared federal tax returns or claims for a refund for tax years 2007 through 2010 to inform them of the permanent injunction entered against her;
- G. That the Court, pursuant to IRC §§ 7402(a), 7407, and 7408, enter an order requiring Maria Teresita Viray to produce to counsel for the United States, within fifteen days of the Court's order, a list that identifies by name, social security number, address, e-mail address, and telephone number and tax period(s) all persons for whom she prepared federal tax returns or claims for a refund for tax years 2007 through 2010;
- H. That the Court, pursuant to IRC §§ 7402(a), 7407, and 7408, enter an injunction requiring Maria Teresita Viray to provide a copy of the Court's order to all of Viray's

1	principals, officers, managers, employees, and independent contractors within fifteen days						
2	of the Court's order, and provide to counsel for the United States within 30 days a signed						
3	and dated acknowledgment of receipt of the Court's order for each person whom Viray						
4	provided a copy of the Court's order;						
5	I. That the Court retain jurisdiction over Maria Teresita Viray and over this action						
6	to enforce any permanent injunction entered against her;						
7	J. That the United States be entitled to conduct discovery to monitor Maria Teresita						
8	Viray's compliance with the terms of any permanent injunction entered against her; and						
9	K. That the Court grant the United States such other and further relief, including						
10	costs, as is just and reasonable.						
11	Date: February 2, 2012						
12	Respectfully submitted,						
13	ANDRE BIROTTE, JR. United States Attorney						
14	SANDRA R. BROWN Assistant United States Attorney						
15	Chief, Tax Division						
16	DANIEL W. LAYTON (SBN 240763) Assistant United States Attorney						
17	Room 7211 Federal Building 300 North Los Angeles Street						
18	Los Angeles, CA 90012 Telephone: 213-894-6165						
19	Fax: 213-894-0115 Email: Daniel.Layton@usdoj.gov						
20							
21	O						
22.	DANIEL A. APPLEGATE						
23	Michigan Bar # P70452 Trial Attorney, Tax Division						
24	Trial Attorney, Tax Division U. S. Department of Justice P.O. Box 7238, Ben Franklin Station Washington, D.C. 20044 Telephone: (202) 353-8180						
25	Washington, D.C. 20044 Telephone: (202) 353-8180						
26	Fax: (202) 514-6770 Daniel.A.Applegate@usdoj.gov						
27							
28							

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

#### NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge George H. Wu and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is Ralph Zarefsky.

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

CV12- 1016 GW (RZx)

Purs	uant to Gener	al Order 05-0	7 of the United	States I	District Co	urt for the (	Central
District of	California, th	ne Magistrate	Judge has been	designa	ted to hear	discovery	related
motions.							

All discovery related motions should be noticed on the calendar of the Magistrate Judge
NOTICE TO COUNSEL
opy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is

A co filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).

Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:

[X]	Western Division 312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8 Los Angeles, CA 90012	LJ	Southern Division 411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053 Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516	Eastern Division 3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 1 Riverside, CA 92501	34

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

ANDRE BIROTTE JR., U.S. Attorney SANDRA BROWN, AUSA Chief, Tax Division DANIEL LAYTON, AUSA 300 N. Los Angeles St., #7211 Los Angeles, CA 90012

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

	TON, AUSA							
, 500 N. LOS AI.	geles St., #7211							
Los Angeles, (								
•	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA							
UNITED STA	TES OF AMERICA	CASE NUMBER						
	PLAINTIFF(S) V.	CV12-01016+w(P						
TVDM TAX S	SITA VIRAY, individually and d/b/a ERVICES, MTV TAX SERVICES, and ON TAX SERVICES  DEFENDANT(S).	SUMMONS						
Service	s, and New Horizon Tax Services	lually and d/b/a TVDM Tax Services, MTV Tax						
Service A lawsu Within	s, and New Horizon Tax Services  it has been filed against you.  21 days after service of this summon	ns on you (not counting the day you received it), you complaint  amended complaint						
Service  A lawsu  Within must serve on the counterclaim or motion must 300 N. Los An	it has been filed against you.  21 days after service of this summon plaintiff an answer to the attached of cross-claim or a motion under Rule 1 be served on the plaintiff's attorney, Degeles St., #7211, Los Angeles, CA 90012	ns on you (not counting the day you received it), you complaint   2 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answe aniel Layton, whose address						
Service  A lawsu  Within must serve on the counterclaim or motion must 300 N. Los Anguidgment by de	it has been filed against you.  21 days after service of this summon plaintiff an answer to the attached of cross-claim or a motion under Rule 1 be served on the plaintiff's attorney, Degeles St., #7211, Los Angeles, CA 90012	ns on you (not counting the day you received it), you complaint   2 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer aniel Layton, whose address If you fail to do s						
Service  A lawsu  Within must serve on the counterclaim or motion must 300 N. Los Anguidgment by de	it has been filed against you.  21days after service of this summone plaintiff an answer to the attached \( \sigma \) cross-claim or a motion under Rule 1 be served on the plaintiff's attorney, \( \sigma \) geles St., #7211, Los Angeles, CA 90012 fault will be entered against you for the respective to the served of the plaintiff's attorney.	ns on you (not counting the day you received it), you complaint   2 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer aniel Layton , whose address   2 . If you fail to do s						

[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].

CV-01A (12/07)

SUMMONS

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

													<u>u</u>
I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check bo	x if you	are representing yourself	□)		DEFENDANTS								
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA					MARIA TERESITA VIRAY, individually and d/b/a TVDM TAX SERVICES, and NEW HORIZON TAX SERVICES								
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (Except in U.S. Plaintiff Cases): Los Angeles County					County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (In U.S. Plaintiff Cases Only): Los Angeles County								
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number. If you are representing yourself, provide same.) DANIEL LAYTON, AUSA US Attorney's Office 300 N. Los Angeles St. #7211, Los Angeles, CA 90012 Tel: (213) 894-6165 Fax: (213) 894-0115					Attorneys	(If Known)							78
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTIO	N (Plac	e an X in one box only.)		III. CITIZEN (Place an		PRINCIPAL x for plaintiff				y Cases O	nly		
■ I U.S. Government Plaintiff	□ <u>3</u>	Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party	)	Citizen of This	State		<b>PTF</b> □ 1	DEF □ 1	Incorporat		cipal Place tate	PTF □ 4	DEF □ 4
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendan	t □4	Diversity (Indicate Citiz of Parties in Item III)	enship	Citizen of Anot	ther State		□2	□2	Incorporat of Busines		ncipal Place ner State	□ 5	□ 5
				Citizen or Subj	ect of a Fore	eign Country	□ 3	□ 3	Foreign N	ation		□6	□6
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in or		• •											
Proceeding 2 Remove State Co		☐ 3 Remanded from Appellate Court		einstated or eopened	5 Transferr	ed from anoti	her dist	trict (sp	ecify): 🗆 (	6 Multi- District Litigatio		eal to I ge from gistrate	
V. REQUESTED IN COMPL	AINT:	JURY DEMAND:	Yes ⋤	No (Check 'Ye	s' only if de	emanded in co	omplai	nt.)					
CLASS ACTION under F.R.C	.P. 23:	☐ Yes 👿 No			-	EMANDED	•	-	AINT: \$				
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cit Pursuant to 26 U.S.C. S				are filing and w	rite a brief s	tatement of c	ause. 1	Do not	cite jurisdict	tional statu	ites unless di	iversity.	.)
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Plac	e an X	in one box only.)			·······								
OTHER STATUTES		CONTRACT		TORTS		TORTS		200	PRISÖNER	CONTRACTOR OF STREET	- 6 · LAP	OR	
☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust		Insurance Marine		SONAL INJUR Airplane	200700000000000000000000000000000000000	PERSONAL PROPERTY	E00047210696		PETITIONS Motions to		710 Fair La Act	bor Sta	ndards
☐ 430 Banks and Banking		Miller Act		Airplane Airplane Produ		Other Frauc	KANA SANATAN CAN-	□ 310	Vacate Sen		Act 720 Labor/I	Mømt	
☐ 450 Commerce/ICC		Negotiable Instrument		Liability		Truth in Lei			Habeas Con		Relatio	_	
Rates/etc.		Recovery of	□ 320	Assault, Libel	& 🗆 380	Other Perso			General		730 Labor/!	Mgmt.	
☐ 460 Deportation	i	Overpayment &		Slander		Property Da					Reporti	-	
☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt			□ 330	Fed. Employer. Liability	s'  L  385	Property Da		□ 540		/  _	Disclos 740 Railwa		
Organizations	□ 151	Judgment Medicare Act	□ 340	Marine	В	Product Lia ANKRÜPTC		□ 550	Other Civil Right		790 Other L		Act
☐ 480 Consumer Credit		Recovery of Defaulted	1	Marine Produc	NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.	Appeal 28 U	e		Prison Con		Litigati		
☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV		Student Loan (Excl.		Liability		158		2010/2009/05/2009	RFEITURE		791 Empl. I		
☐ 810 Selective Service	CT. 1.62	Veterans)	1	Motor Vehicle	□ 423	Withdrawal			PENALTY.		Securit		
☐ 850 Securities/Commodities /Exchange	133	Recovery of Overpayment of	□ 333	Motor Vehicle Product Liabili	ty 0	USC 157 IVIL RIGHT:			Agriculture Other Food		PROPERT 820 Copyri		118
☐ 875 Customer Challenge 12		Veteran's Benefits	□ 360	Other Personal		Voting	- Company	□ 020	Drug		830 Patent	Biim	
USC 3410	□ 160	Stockholders' Suits		Injury		Employmen	ıt	□ 625	Drug Relate		840 Tradem	ıark	
· · · ·		Other Contract	□ 362	Personal Injury		Housing/Ac			Seizure of		SOCIALS		TY
☐ 891 Agricultural Act	□ 195	Contract Product	☐ 265	Med Malpracti		mmodations	s				861 HIA (1:		22)
☐ 892 Economic Stabilization Act	□ 196	Liability Franchise	L 303	Personal Injury Product Liabili		Welfare American w	rith	□ 630	881 Liquor Law		862 Black I 863 DIWC/		23)
☐ 893 Environmental Matters	CONTRACTOR SPECIAL SPE	SERVICE CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP	□ 368	Asbestos Perso	* I	Disabilities	9		R.R. & Tru		(405(g)		
☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act	CONSTRUMENTO NOTICE	Land Condemnation		Injury Product		Employmen			Airline Reg		864 SSID T		I
□ 895 Freedom of Info. Act		Foreclosure	l	Liability	□ 446	American w	ı	□ 660	Occupation	packw	865 RSI (40	CENTATOR SOCIETY PROF	en e
900 Appeal of Fee Determi-		Rent Lease & Ejectment				Disabilities		□ <b>∠</b> 00	Safety /Hea		FEDERAL I		
nation Under Equal Access to Justice	I	Torts to Land Tort Product Liability	Ì		<u> </u>	Other Other Civil	ł	□ 690	Otner.	Leg :	870 Taxes ( or Defe		иппит
☐ 950 Constitutionality of		All Other Real Property				Rights					871 IRS-Th		y 26
State Statutes				<u>/                                    </u>							USC 76		·
VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES:	Has thi	is action been previously f	iled and	d dismissed, rem	anded or cle	osed? 🗹 No	□Y	es					
If yes, list case number(s):				<u> </u>	2		14						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:	Case N	umber:	1				all 19						

CV-71 (07/05)

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

#### AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CV-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

VIII(b). RELATED CASES	: Have any cases been pre	eviously filed that are related to the present case? 🖬 No 🗆 Yes
If yes, list case number(s):		
Civil cases are deemed relate (Check all boxes that apply)	<ul><li>□ A. Arise from the same</li><li>□ B. Call for determinati</li><li>□ C. For other reasons w</li></ul>	se and the present case: e or closely related transactions, happenings, or events; or ion of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact; or rould entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges; or atent, trademark or copyright, and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.
IX. VENUE: List the Californ Check here if the U.S. gove		r than California, in which EACH named plaintiff resides (Use an additional sheet if necessary) ployees is a named plaintiff.
Los Angeles County		
		nia, in which EACH named defendant resides. (Use an additional sheet if necessary).  In a named defendant.
List the California County, of Note: In land condemnation of Los Angeles County		ornia, in which <b>EACH</b> claim arose. (Use an additional sheet if necessary) e tract of land involved.
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTO	RNEY (OR PRO PER):	Date 2/6/2012
Notice to Counsel/Partie or other papers as require	es: The CV-71 (JS-44) Cid by law. This form, appro	vil Cover Sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings oved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not rpose of statistics, venue and initiating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions
Key to Statistical codes relatin	g to Social Security Cases:	
Nature of Suit	Code Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of Cause of Action
861	HIA	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))
863	DIWW.	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))

CV-71 (07/05)